Remarks

The applicant provides the following remarks in response to the final office action bearing a mailing date of June 19, 2007. Claims 1, 8, 15, and 16 are currently amended. Claims 1-16 are currently pending. The applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of the pending rejections in light of the foregoing amendments and the following remarks.

A. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 112, ¶ 1

Claims 1-7 and 15-16 stand rejected for failing to comply with the written description requirement because recitation of, "the home page being a starting page for the user interface on the pump," is not found in the specification. The applicant respectfully traverses this rejection and do not concede any characterizations of the pending application set forth in the office action. However, the applicant has cancelled this language from the pending claims and submits that the rejection is now moot.

B. Rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 103

Claims 1-16 stand rejected as being obvious over Campbell et al. (2005/0137530) and further in view of Estes et al. (2003/0114836). The applicant respectfully traverses this rejection and do not concede any characterizations of the pending application or the cited references set forth in the office action.

The pending claims set forth that the banner the banner comprising user-defined, non-pump parameter content. As explained in the specification, the user can enter any type of text to form a banner. Non-limiting examples, of such banners include warning that the pump is an insulin pump, the user's name or other identification information, medical information and warning, the name of the patient's physician, the name of a clinic or healthcare network, or any other user-defined text. Support for this claim

6

language can be found in the pending specification at page 11, lines 11-19,85, line 16-page 86, line 8.

In sharp contrast, Campbell discloses only a status screen that displays the status of various pump functions and parameters. Furthermore, Paragraph 58 as cited in the office action teaches that the pump can send and receive information such as information, control parameters, programs, and the like. Campbell fails to teach creation or display of a banner comprising user-defined, non-pump parameter content as set forth in the pending claims.

Similarly, Estes discloses predetermined menu and corresponding screens for programming operation of the pump. In the text cited in the office action, the Estes specification explains that upon selecting a suspend function, the user is presented with e menu to select the period for suspension. It goes on to explain that predetermined intervals are then presented to the user. The Estes teaches that all of the information presented is presented to the user, even if for selection, not defined by the user. Estes also fails to teach or suggest a banner comprising user-defined, non-pump parameter content.

Therefore, no combination of Campbell and Estes will result in a pump having a banner comprising user-defined, non-pump parameter content or a method of displaying such a banner on a pump. The applicant respectfully submits that the claimed invention is patentably distinct from Campbell and Estes and requests withdrawal of the pending rejection.

7

C. Conclusion

For at least these reasons, the applicant requests allowance of the pending claims and advancement of this application to issuance. The applicant notes that there may be additional reasons and arguments in support of patentability for the pending claims and reserves the right to raise any such reason in the future.

Please call the undersigned attorney if there are any questions or if it would be helpful to discuss any issues during the examination of this application.

Respectfully submitted,
MERCHANT & GOULD P.C.
P.O. Box 2903
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-0903
(612) 332-5300

23552
PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE

Date: _Oct. 31, 2007_

Name: John C. Reich
Reg. No.: 37,703
JCR/BAT:ae

8